1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
4	LORETTA A. WEST Deputy Attorney General	
5	State Bar No. 149294 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
6	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037	
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE T	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CON FOR THE BUREAU OF ELECTRONIC A FURNISHING AND THER	ND APPLIANCE REPAIR, HOME
11	STATE OF CAL	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. AN 2011-1052
13	RAFAT FAWWAZ SWIDAN,	FIRST AMENDED
14	AKA RAFAT FAWWAZ SWEIDAN	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
15	Electronic Service Dealer Registration Applicant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	5
20	1. Tonya Blood (Complainant) brings thi	s First Amended Statement of Issues solely
21	in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of I	Electronic and Appliance Repair,
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about October 22, 2011, the Bu	eau of Electronic and Appliance Repair
24	received an application for an Electronic Service Dea	ler Registration from Rafat Fawwaz
25	Swidan; aka Rafat Fawwaz Sweidan, seeking to do b	usiness under the fictitious business name,
26	Stereo Pros (Respondent). On or about October 10, 2	011, Rafat Fawwaz Swidan certified under
27	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements	, answers, and representations in the
28	application. The Bureau denied the application on March 12, 2012.	
	1	
	I STA	ATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs
3	(Director) for the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishing and Thermal
4	Insulation (Bureau), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
5	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
6	4. Section 477 of the Code states that:
7	As used in this division:
8	(a) "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."
9 10	(b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
11	5. Section 9831 of the Code states:
12	Upon receipt of the form properly filled out and receipt of the required fee,
13	the director shall, provided the applicant has not committed acts or crimes constituting grounds for denial of licensure under Section 480, issue the
14	registration and send a proof of issuance to the service dealer. The director shall by regulation prescribe conditions upon which a person whose registration has
15	previously been revoked or has previously been denied, may have his or her
16	registration issued.
17	6. Section 9841 of the Code states:
18	(a) The director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or
19	permanently the registration of a service dealer for any of the following acts or omissions done by himself or herself or any employee, partner, officer, or
20	member of the service dealer and related to the conduct of his or her business:
21	(7) Conviction of a crime which has a substantial relationship to the
22	qualifications, functions and duties of a registrant under this chapter, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
23	
24	(b) The director may also refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of a service dealer if the applicant or registrant, as
25	the case may be, has committed acts or crimes constituting grounds for denial of licensure under Section 480.
26	
27	///
28	///
	2
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052

	-
1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	7. Section 475 of the Code states:
3	(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
4	(1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
	(2) Conviction of a crime.
6 7	(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
8	(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
9 10	(b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
11	(c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's
12	character, reputation, personality, or habits.
13	8. Section 480 of the Code states:
14	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
15 16	(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following
17	a plea of <i>nolo contendere</i> . Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has
18	elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
19	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the
20	intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
21 22	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of
23	license. (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this
24	subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
25	
26	(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground
27	that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.
28	
	3
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011

.....

- 1	fund"
1	9. Section 482 of the Code states:
2 3	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
4	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
5 6	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
7	10. Section 493 of the Code states:
8	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a
9	person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has
10	been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be
11	conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction
12	is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.
13	As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit,"
14	"authority," and "registration."
15	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
16	11. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 2767, provides:
17	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of the registration of a repair service dealer pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with section 475) of
18	the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a service repair
19	dealer if to a substantial degree it evidence present or potential unfitness of a service dealer to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner
20	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall
21	include but not be limited to those involving the following: (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in
22	or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of
23	Chapter 20, Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code. (b) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty.
24	
25	12. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 2768, provides:
26	(a) The Bureau shall evaluate the rehabilitation of the applicant, registrant, or petitioner and his present eligibility for a registration:
27	(1) When considering the denial of a registration under the provisions of
27 28	(1) When considering the denial of a registration under the provisions of Section 480 of the Code.

	-
1	(b) In evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant, registrant, or
2	petitioner, the Bureau shall consider the following criteria:
3	(1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration.
4	(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s)
5	under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code when considering an applicant pursuant to subsection (a) (1) of
6	this section.
7	(3) Total criminal record when considering a person pursuant to sections(a) (2) and (a) (3) of this section.
8	(4) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration.
9	(5) The extent to which the applicant, registrant, or petitioner has
10	complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant, registrant, or petitioner.
11	(6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant,
12	registrant, or petitioner. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to proof of additional training or education, evidence of services to the community, and, if
13	applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings, pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
14 15	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
16	(October 7, 2011 Criminal Convictions for Employment Law Violations
17	Between January and March 2011)
18	
10	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J
	subdivision (a)(1), and 9841, subdivisions (a)(7) and (b), in that Respondent was convicted of
20	crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of an Electronic
21	Service Dealer. The circumstances are as follows:
22	a. On or about October 7, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People</i>
23	of the State of California vs. Rafat F. Sweidan, aka Raffat Fawwaz Sweidan, in Riverside County
24	Superior Court, Riverside Hall Of Justice, case number RIM1105617, Respondent was convicted
25	by a jury for violating Labor Code (LC) section 3700.5, failure to secure the payment of
26	compensation; Unemployment Insurance Code (UIC) section 2118, failure to pay over any tax
27	withheld; and LC section 226, subdivision (a), failure to provide his employees an accurate
28	statement in writing, misdemeanors.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052

b. As a result of the convictions, Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for three years, and ordered committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 365 days for each of the three convictions to be served consecutively in the Riverside County Jail. However, the order to serve time was suspended. He was also ordered to pay \$3,450.01 for the cost of investigation and prosecution, \$10,300.00 in fines, \$250.00 in civil penalties, and \$220 in fees.

The facts and circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about c. 7 March 9, 2011, a Riverside District Attorney's Office Sr. Investigator went to the store called 8 Stereo Pros located at 1441 W. 6th Street in the city of Corona. The Investigator entered the 9 business and spoke to Respondent who identified himself as the owner of the business. 10 Respondent admitted that he does not pay for Worker's Compensation Insurance, does not 11 withhold or pay unemployment insurance tax, and he does not provide itemized wage statements 12 for any employees of Stereo Pros. Respondent also denied that he was an employee and denied 13 that Stereo Pros had any employees. The Investigator subsequently discovered that 14 Respondent's wife was the sole owner registered on the business license for Stereo Pros and she 15 has a full time job at a different business. In August 2011, the Investigator returned to Stereo 16 Pros and observed a man installing electronic equipment in a truck. The Investigator spoke to 17 Respondent who admitted that he does not pay for Worker's Compensation Insurance, he does 18 not withhold or pay unemployment insurance tax, and he does not provide itemized wage 19 statements for any employees of Stereo Pros. Respondent denied that the man who was 20 installing the electronics in the truck was an employee of Stereo Pros and denied that Stereo Pros 21 had any employees. 22 23 SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

(December 1, 2005, Criminal Convictions for Insurance Fraud On August 22, 2005)

14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480,
subdivision (a)(1), and 9841, subdivisions (a)(7) and (b), in that Respondent was convicted of
///

a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of an Electronic Service Dealer. The circumstances are as follows:

1

2

a. On or about December 5, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Rafat F. Sweidan, aka Raffat Fawwaz Sweidan,* in San
Bernadino County Superior Court, case number FSB052579, Respondent was convicted by his
plea of guilty to violation of Penal Code section 550, subdivision (b) (2), insurance fraud, a
misdemeanor.

b. As a result of the convictions, Respondent was sentenced to summary
probation for three years, ordered committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for
1 day with credit for one day served, and ordered to pay fees and fines. He was also ordered to
post a sign that was visible to customers at his place of business stating, "Filing a false insurance
claim is a felony punishable by imprisonment for up to 5 years."

The facts and circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about c. 13 August 22, 2005, an undercover officer of the California Highway Patrol entered Stereo City 14 located at 596 South E Street in the city of San Bernadino and spoke to Respondent who 15 identified himself as the manager of the business. The undercover officer told Respondent that 16 her truck had been stolen and she needed a receipt for some stereo items because she had told her 17 insurance company she had a more expensive stereo system in her truck than she actually had. 18 Respondent instructed an employee to give the receipt to the undercover officer. The undercover 19 officer left the store with the fraudulent receipt, back-dated, that stated she had previously bought 20 stereo equipment at the store when, in fact, she had not bought any stereo equipment at the store. 21 Approximately 10 minutes later investigators from the California Department of Insurance, 22 Fraud Division, entered the store and spoke to Respondent. Respondent admitted that he knew 23 the undercover officer had not bought the items on the receipt and that the purpose of giving her 24 25 the receipt was so that she could turn it in to her insurance company to get paid for those items. Respondent admitted that he had given out fake receipts in the past but had not done it in a long 26 27 time. The employee who wrote the receipt stated that he was a sales clerk and he wrote the receipt for the undercover officer because Respondent told him to write her a receipt. The sales 28

7

1	clerk back-dated the receipt and wrote a date dictated by the undercover officer and he wrote the
2	exact merchandise items on the receipt that the undercover officer told him to write on the
3	receipt.
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSURE
5	(Knowingly Making False Statement on Application)
6	15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480,
7	subdivision (c) and 9841(b), in that Respondent knowingly failed to disclose his 2005 conviction
8	of insurance fraud, alleged in Paragraph 14 above, in his application to the Bureau. The
9	circumstances are as follows.
10	16. On or about October 10, 2011, Respondent signed his Application for Registration
11 12	as an Appliance Service Dealer, and submitted it to the Bureau. By his Application, Respondent
12	certified, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that its contents were
14	true and correct.
15	17. Item 11 of Page 2 of Respondent's application required that he answer the
16	question: "Have you ever been convicted or a felony or misdemeanor, other than minor traffic
17	violations", to which Respondent failed to provide any answer.
18 19	18. Item 11 of Page 2 of Respondent's application further required Respondent to
20	complete Item 13 of the Application if he answered "Yes" to the question set forth in Item 11.
21	19. Item 13 of Page 2 of Respondent's application stated, in pertinent part, that "If you
22	answered YES to having ever been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor in Items 10 or 11, give
23	the particulars of each, including penal code numbers or criminal case numbers (Attach
24	additional sheets if necessary)."
25	20. In response to Item 13, Respondent knowingly failed to disclose his 2005 conviction of
26 27	insurance fraud, alleged in Paragraph 14, above, and incorporated herein by reference.
28	///
	8
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052

1	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSURE	
2	(Knowingly False Statement on Application)	
3	21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480,	
4	subdivision (c) and 9841(b), in that Respondent knowingly failed to disclose his 2004 conviction	
5	of driving under the influence of alcohol, alleged in Paragraph 23 below, in his application to the	
6	Bureau, as set forth below.	
7	22. Complainant incorporates by this reference paragraphs 16 through 19, above, as	
8	though fully set forth herein.	
10	23. In response to Item 13 on his application, Respondent knowingly failed to disclose	
11	his 2004 conviction of driving under the influence of alcohol described below.	
12	a. On or about March 2, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of</i>	
13	the State of California vs. Rafat F. Sweidan, aka Raffat Fawwaz Sweidan, in San Bernadino	
14	County Superior Court, case number TSB112126, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty	
15	to violation of Vehicle Code section 23152 (a), driving under the influence of alcohol.	
16 17	b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to summary	
18	probation for three years, ordered to pay fines and fees, ordered to complete a 1st Offender	
19	Alcohol Program, and his driver's license was restricted for a period of three months to drive to	
20	and from employment and treatment, only.	
21	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSURE	
22	(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)	
23	24. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(2) in that	
24 25	Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to	
26	substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another, by submitting his	
27	application for issuance of an Appliance Service Dealer Registration without revealing	
28		
	9	
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052	

1	information regarding his criminal convictions that he was required to reveal, as alleged, above,
2	in paragraphs 15 though 23, which are incorporated herein by this reference.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
5	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:
6	1. Denying the application of Rafat Fawwaz Swidan; aka Rafat Fawwaz Sweidan for
7	an Electronic Service Dealer Registration;
8	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9	An Thomas
10 11	DATED: 08/13/2012 JOY BLOOD
12	Chief Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair
13	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
14	Complainant
15	SD2012703289
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	10
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES Case Number AN2011-1052