1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORETTA A. WEST	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 149294	
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2107	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	FOR THE BUREAU OF ELECTRONIC AND APPLIANCE REPAIR, HOME FURNISHINGS AND THERMAL INSULATION	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. A1 2013-1007	
13	VLADIMIR PUSTILNIKOV,	
14	DBA B & E APPLIANCE HEATING & AIR CONDITIONING, A C C U S A T I O N	
15	82-687 Lordsburg Drive Indio, CA 92203	
16	Appliance Service Dealer	
17	Registration No. A-44629	
18	Respondent.	
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Tonya Blood (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity a	ıs
22	the Chief of the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal	
23	Insulation (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
24	2. On March 3, 2006, the Bureau issued Appliance Service Dealer Registration	
25	Number A-44629 to Vladimir Pustilnikov, doing business as B & E Appliance Heating & Air	
26	Conditioning (Respondent). The Appliance Service Dealer Registration was in full force and	
27	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2014,	
28	unless renewed.	

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated.
- 5. Code section 9841(a) provides that the Director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of a service dealer if he, or any employee, partner, officer, or member of the service dealer, has violated provisions of the Electronic and Appliance Repair Act.
- 6. Code section 9849 provides that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Code section 477 states:

As used in this division:

- (a) 'Board' includes 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'
- (b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
- 8. Code section 480 states:

A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:

(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of *nolo contendere*. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

1	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
2	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business
3	or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
4	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this
5	subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
6	
7	(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for such license.
8	
9	9. Code section 482 states:
10	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
11	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
12	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
13	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation
14	furnished by the applicant or licensee.
15	
16	10. Code section 490 provides that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the
17	ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,
18	functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
19	11. Code section 493 states:
20	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to
21	suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted
22	of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive
23	evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order
24	to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially relate
25	
26	12. Code section 498 states:
27	A board may revoke, suspend, or otherwise restrict a license on the ground that the licensee secured the license by fraud, deceit, or knowing misrepresentation of a
28	material fact or by knowingly omitting to state a material fact.

Accusation

28

15. Regulations section 2768, states:

- (a) The Bureau shall evaluate the rehabilitation of the applicant, registrant, or petitioner and his present eligibility for a registration:
- (2) When considering the suspension or revocation of a registration on the grounds that a service dealer has been convicted of a crime;
- (b) In evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant, registrant, or petitioner, the Bureau shall consider the following criteria:
 - (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code when considering an applicant pursuant to subsection (a) (1) of this section.
- (3) Total criminal record when considering a person pursuant to sections (a) (2) and (a) (3) of this section.
- (4) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration.
- (5) The extent to which the applicant, registrant, or petitioner has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant, registrant, or petitioner.
- (6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant, registrant, or petitioner. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to proof of additional training or education, evidence of services to the community, and, if applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings, pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

16. Regulations section 2775, states:

In reaching a decision on a disciplinary action under the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Section 11400 et seq.), the bureau shall consider the disciplinary guidelines entitled "Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair Disciplinary Guidelines (June 1997)" which are hereby incorporated by reference. Deviation from these guidelines and orders, including the standard terms of probation, is appropriate where the bureau in its sole discretion determines that the facts of the particular case warrant such deviation - for example: the presence of mitigating factors; the age of the case; evidentiary problems.

17. Code section 125.3 provides that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. In the event of a settlement, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTS

- 18. On March 11, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State* of California v. Vladimir Pustilnikov, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, San Fernando Courthouse District, case number 3CH04017, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code (PC) section 12031, subdivision (a)(1), unlawfully carrying a loaded firearm on July 24, 2003, a misdemeanor. Pursuant to a plea agreement, the court dismissed an additional count of violating PC section 417, subdivision (a)(2), unlawfully exhibiting a firearm, a misdemeanor.
- 19. As a result of the conviction, on March 11, 2004, Respondent was sentenced to serve 45 days in Los Angeles County Jail (LAC Jail), with credit for one day served, or to serve 30 days of graffiti removal work furlough in lieu thereof, and to pay fees, fines, and restitution. The court granted Respondent three years summary probation. The court ordered that Respondent not own, use, possess, buy or sell any dangerous or deadly weapons, not annoy or harass anyone involved in the case, not threaten anyone, and not use force or violence against anyone. The Court also ordered that the weapon and ammunition confiscated from Respondent at the time of his arrest be destroyed by the arresting agency. On January 26, 2006, Respondent's probation was revoked due to his violation of the terms of his probation and a bench warrant was issued for his arrest. On February 17, 2006, as a result of his probation violation, Respondent was sentenced to two additional days of custody in LAC Jail, and his probation was reinstated on the same terms.
- 20. On August 6, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California* v. *Vladimir Pustilnikov*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, North Central District, case number GA057082, Respondent was convicted on his plea of *nolo contendere* of violating Health

and Safety (H & S) Code section 11360, subdivision (a), unlawfully transporting marijuana for personal use on March 16, 2004, a felony. Pursuant to a plea agreement, the court dismissed an additional count of violating H & S Code section 11359, unlawful possession for sale of marijuana, a felony. Respondent's criminal conduct underlying this conviction occurred on March 16, 2004, only five days after he began serving his probation arising from his previous convictions on March 11, 2004.

- 21. As a result of the conviction, on August 6, 2004, the court suspended imposition of the sentence and granted Respondent three years formal probation on all standard terms and conditions including an order to pay fines, fees and restitution. The court ordered Respondent to serve two days in LAC Jail, with credit for two days served. The court also ordered Respondent to register with the local police agency as a narcotics offender.
- 22. On March 3, 2006, the Bureau received an application for an Appliance Service Dealer Registration from Respondent. On March 3, 2006, Respondent signed his application for licensure certifying under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that his answers were true and correct. In response to the question "Have you ever been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor, other than a minor traffic violation?" Respondent replied "No" when, in fact, Respondent had been convicted on March 11, 2004, and convicted again on August 26, 2004, as detailed above in paragraphs 18 through 21. Further, Respondent was still on probation for each of his prior convictions at the time he misrepresented on his application that he had not ever been convicted.
- 23. On March 3, 2006, in reliance on Respondent's truthfulness in completing his application for licensure, the Bureau issued Appliance Dealer Registration No. A-44629 to Respondent. The registration has been renewed each year.
- 24. On February 25, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Vladimir Pustilnikov*, in Riverside County Superior Court, Desert Courts District, case number INF10002034, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating PC section 459, burglary, and PC section 476, forgery, on June 3, 2010, misdemeanors. Pursuant

to a plea agreement, the court dismissed additional counts of violating PC section 459, burglary, and PC section 476, forgery, misdemeanors.

- 25. As a result of the convictions, on February 25, 2011, Respondent was granted three years summary probation and sentenced to serve 30 days in the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff, and ordered to submit to immediate searches by law enforcement with or without cause, stay away from Y&M Market in Coachella, and pay fines, fees and restitution. Respondent's probation is scheduled to expire on February 24, 2014, unless extended.
- 26. On November 16, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Vladimir Pustilnikov*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Burbank Courthouse, case number GA083682, Respondent was convicted on his plea of *nolo contendere* of four separate felony counts of violating PC section 470, subdivision (d), forgery, and one felony count of violating PC section 487, subdivision (a), grand theft, on April 23, 2011. Respondent's convictions occurred while he was on probation after being convicted of similar crimes detailed above in paragraphs 24 and 25. Further, Respondent's criminal conduct underlying this conviction occurred on April 23, 2011, only two months after he began serving his probation arising from his previous convictions on February 25, 2011.
- 27. As a result of the convictions, on November 16, 2012, the court ordered imposition of sentence suspended and granted Respondent three years formal probation. The court ordered Respondent to serve one day in the custody of LAC Jail with credit for one day served, complete 45 days of community service, and pay fines, fees and restitution. Respondent's probation is scheduled to expire on November 15, 2015, unless extended.
- 28. On February 21, 2013, the Bureau received an application for a second Appliance Service Dealer Registration from Respondent to open a second appliance repair dealer doing business as All Desert Appliance Heating & Air Conditioning in Palm Desert, California. On February 21, 2013, Respondent signed his application for licensure certifying under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that his answers were true and correct. In response to the question, "Have you ever been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor, other than a minor traffic violation?" Respondent replied "Yes." Question No. 13 on the application for

licensure states, in pertinent part: "If you answered YES to having ever been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor in Items 10 or 11, give the particulars of each, including Penal Code numbers or criminal case numbers. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)" Respondent provided a false and misleading answer to Question No. 13 in that he provided information regarding only one of his four prior convictions. Respondent's entire response to Question No. 13 provides only the following information regarding a single conviction: "Received a check from a homeowner that was stolen and the check holder take me to court case is close and check holder was repaid in full, but the court system give me a Felony [sic]." Respondent did not disclose any information about, or the existence of, his three other prior criminal convictions that occurred in March 2004, August 2004, and February 2011, as detailed above in paragraphs 18-19, 20-21, and 24-25, respectively. Respondent's response to Question No. 13 was misleading in that it misrepresented the extensive nature of his prior criminal history.

- 29. In March 2013, the Bureau sent Respondent an Applicant Questionnaire regarding his criminal conviction(s). The questionnaire states: "Please disclose the details of **any and all** criminal conviction(s). You may use a separate sheet of paper if needed." [emphasis in original.] In March 2013, Respondent responded to the Applicant Questionnaire as detailed below, certified under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that his foregoing responses to the Applicant Questionnaire were true and correct, and submitted his responses to the Bureau for consideration in granting his application for licensure.
- 30. Question No. 2 on the questionnaire states: "Date of Conviction(s)" and then, "Felony or Misdemeanor?" In response to Question No. 2, Respondent's entire response states "November 16, 2012" and he circled the word "Felony." Respondent's response did not include or make any reference to his additional felony conviction that occurred in August 2004 as described above in paragraph 20. Respondent's response did not include any information or reference to his two additional misdemeanor criminal convictions that occurred in March 2004 and February 2011 as detailed above in paragraphs 18-19 and 24-25, respectively. Respondent's response to Question No. 2 was false in that it disclosed only one prior conviction as if it were

his sole conviction and it was misleading in that it misrepresented the extensive nature of Respondent's prior criminal history.

- 31. Question No. 6 on the questionnaire states, "Case Number _____." Respondent's response provided one case number, "GA083682", which refers to his most recent conviction that occurred in November, 2012. Respondent did not disclose or provide the case number for any of his other convictions that occurred in March 2004, August 2004, and February 2011. Respondent's response to Question No. 6 was false in that it disclosed only one prior conviction as if it were his sole conviction and it was misleading in that it misrepresented the extensive nature of Respondent's prior criminal history.
- 32. Question No. 7 on the questionnaire states, "Jail-time or prison?" and "Duration of time served?" Respondent's response to both parts of Question No. 7 is "N/A" which falsely indicated that his criminal conviction(s) did not result in any sentenced jail-time. In fact, Respondent was ordered to serve jail-time and received credit for jail-time served as a result of his conviction in November, 2012, which he disclosed on the questionnaire and which is detailed above in paragraphs 26-27, and also as a result of his conviction in August 2004 as detailed above in paragraphs 20-21. Further, Respondent was ordered to serve 30 days jail-time as a result of his conviction in February 26, 2011, as detailed above in paragraphs 24-25.
- 33. Question No. 8 on the questionnaire states, "Was there a probation served? If so, how long and date of release:" Respondent did not provide any response to this question.

 Respondent's failure to provide any information in response to Question No. 8 was misleading in that it falsely indicated that Respondent's criminal conviction(s) did not result in any sentence that included terms of probation. In fact, Respondent had served sentences including probation on four separate occasions as a result of his prior criminal convictions. Further, Respondent did not disclose that he was currently on probation for convictions, as described above in paragraphs 24 through 27.
- 34. On April 25, 2013, the Bureau denied Respondent's application for a second Appliance Repair Dealer Registration to do business as All Desert Appliance Heating & Air Conditioning in Indio, California.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making Untrue or Misleading Statements)

35. Respondent registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9841, subdivision (a)(1), in that in March 2006, in February 2013, and in March 2013, Respondent knowingly made untrue or misleading statements on his applications for licensure as an Appliance Service Dealer. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 18 through 33 as though set forth fully.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making False Statement on License Application)

36. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 498, and 9841, subdivision (b), in conjunction with section 480, subdivision (c), in March 2006, February 2013 and March 2013, Respondent knowingly made false statements of fact and failed to disclose material facts that were required to be disclosed on his applications for licensure. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 18 through 33.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

37. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 9841, subdivisions (b), in conjunction with section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A), 9841, subdivision (a)(7), and 9855.7, subdivision (b), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of an appliance service dealer which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 18 through 27.

26 ///

28 | ///

SD2013705759

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

38. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 9841, subdivision (b), in conjunction with section 480, subdivision (a)(2), and 9841, subdivision (a)(3) in that Respondent made false statements and misrepresentations on his applications for licensure in March 2006, in February and in March 2013. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 18 through 33.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Appliance Service Dealer Registration Number A-44629, issued to Vladimir Pustilnikov doing business as B & E Appliance Heating & Air Conditioning.
- Ordering Vladimir Pustilnikov to pay the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair,
 Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation the reasonable costs of the investigation and
 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JAN 0 7 2014

TONYA BLOOD

Bureau Chief

Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home

Furnishings and Thermal Insulation

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant