1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY S. ALLEN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 193338		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0004		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Geoffrey.Allen@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	FOR THE BUREAU OF ELECTRONIC AND APPLIANCE REPAIR, HOME FURNISHINGS AND THERMAL INSULATION		
11	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
12	-	*	
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. AN 2016-183	
14	DUSTIN MAGGETTI	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	Furniture and Bedding Retailer License	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
16	Applicant		
17	Respondent.		
18	Compleinant allegage		
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES 1. D. L. Character and Laboratoria Statement of Jagues cololy in his official		
21	1. Dale Chasse (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in his official		
22	capacity as the Acting Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home		
23	Furnishings and Thermal Insulation, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about December 30, 2015, the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair,		
25	Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation received an application for a Furniture and Bedding Retailer License (Application) from Dustin Maggetti (Respondent). On or about November 20, 2015, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answer and representations in the application. The Bureau denied the application on June 21, 2016.		
26			
27			
28	and representations in the application. The Bure	au defiled the application on June 21, 2016.	

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation (Bureau), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of

Section 482.

"(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.

"(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Criminal Conviction)

- 5. Respondent's Application is subject to denial in that he has been convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications functions, and duties of being a furniture and bedding retailer. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd. (a)(1).) The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 26, 2001, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC061887, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 459/60 (burglary), a felony; and Penal Code section 148 (Obstruction of a Public Official), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to five months in jail, three years of probation, and ordered to complete a substance abuse program.
- b. On or about September 28, 2001, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC122570, Respondent was convicted based upon a plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23103, subdivision (a) (reckless driving), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to two years of probation.
- c. On or about October 25, 2001, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC126278, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Penal Code section 415

(disturbing the peace), a misdemeanor; and Penal Code section 148 (Obstruction of a Public Official), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 20 days in jail.

- d. On or about December 16, 2002, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC262404, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to ten days in jail and three years of probation.
- e. On or about December 16, 2002, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC262307, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor; Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor; and Vehicle Code section 4462.5 (unlawful vehicle registration), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 45 days in jail, three years of probation, and ordered complete a alcohol program.
- f. On or about December 16, 2002, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC253089, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 14601, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor; and Vehicle Code section 16028, subdivision (a) (driving without insurance), an infraction. Respondent was sentenced to ten days in jail and 3 years of probation.
- g. On or about June 4, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC307848, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.5, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to one year of probation.
- h. On or about March 3, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC326861, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section

14601.5, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 12 days in jail and three years of probation.

- i. On or about February 8, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC476949, Respondent was convicted upon a guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) (driving with a suspended license), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 60 days in jail and 2 years of probation.
- j. On or about December 24, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Number CC819930, Respondent was convicted upon a no contest plea of violating Penal Code section 242/243 (battery with serious bodily injury), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 28 days in jail, two years of probation, and ordered to complete a substance abuse program.
- k. On or about November 23, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Dustin Maggetti* in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case Number 09F07144, Respondent was convicted upon a no contest plea of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol), a felony. Respondent was sentenced to 180 days in jail, five years of probation, and ordered to complete a alcohol abuse program.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts if Done by Licensee)

6. Respondent's Application is subject to denial in that he performed acts that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd. (a)(3)(A).) The circumstances are described above in paragraph 5.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dishonest Acts)

7. Respondent's Application is subject to denial in that he performed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or

1	substantially injure another. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd. (a)(2).) The circumstances are		
2	described above in paragraph 5.		
3	<u>PRAYER</u>		
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
5	and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:		
6	1. Denying the application of Dustin Maggetti for a Furniture and Bedding Retailer		
7	License;		
8	2.	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
9		MAD a a norm	
10	DATED: _	MAR 0.6 2017	DALE CHASSE
11			Acting Bureau Chief Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home
12			Furnishings and Thermal Insulation Department of Consumer Affairs
13			State of California Complainant
14			
15 16	SF2016900580 90751143.docx		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			