1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	LINDA L. SUN Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 207108 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6375		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	FOR THE BUREAU OF ELECTRONIC AND APPLIANCE REPAIR, HOME FURNISHINGS AND THERMAL INSULATION		
10		CALIFORNIA	
11	Letha Matter of the Statement of Iggreg	±	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. AN 2016-242	
13	JOHN RODRIGUEZ,	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	d.b.a., CALIFORNIA HOME) •	
15	Furniture Retailer License Applicant		
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Justin Paddock (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in his official		
20	capacity as the Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home		
21	Furnishings and Thermal Insulation, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about November 12, 2015, the Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair,		
23	Home Furnishings, and Thermal Insulation (Bureau) received an application for a Furniture		
24	Retailer License from John Rodriguez, doing business as California Home (Respondent). In or		
25	about early November, 2015, John Rodriguez certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness		
26	of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Bureau denied the		
27	application on June 21, 2016.		
28	application on same 21, 2010.		

JURISDICTION

 This Statement of Issues is brought before the Bureau under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

- "(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- "(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license."
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 6. Section 493 states, in pertinent part:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the

qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question."

- 7. Section 9841 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) The director may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of a service dealer for any of the following acts or omissions done by himself or herself or any employee, partner, officer, or member of the service dealer and related to the conduct of his or her business:
 - "(3) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing.
- "(7) Conviction of a crime that has a substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registrant under this chapter, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
- "(b) The director may also deny, or may suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration of a service dealer if the applicant or registrant, as the case may be, has committed acts or crimes constituting grounds for denial of licensure under Section 480."
 - 8. Section 9853 states, in pertinent part:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a service dealer or service contractor is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation a registration, or may deny registration, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code, allowing that person to withdraw his or

her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2767 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of the registration of a repair service dealer pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a service dealer if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a service dealer to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Substantially Related Criminal Convictions)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 9841, subdivision (a)(7) and 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a furniture retailer, as follows:
- a. On or about December 6, 2012, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Business and Professions Code section 7159.5, subdivision (a)(3) [contractor in violation of home improvement contract] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. 1CA01160). The court ordered Respondent to pay victim restitution in the amount \$2,000.00. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or August 12, 2009, Respondent entered into a home improvement contract with the victim and charged the victim a down payment exceeding \$1,000.00 or 10% of the contract.
- b. On or about July 17, 2009, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 [battery] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2009, No. 9WW02147). The court placed Respondent on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances

///

///

surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 23, 2009, Respondent willfully and unlawfully used force or violence upon another.

- c. On or about June 8, 2009, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked] and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 12500 [driving without a valid driver's license] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2008, No. 9RI00285). The court placed Respondent on 18 month probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 11, 2008, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked and without a valid driver's license.
- d. On or about June 14, 2006, Respondent was convicted of three felony counts of violating Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a) [grand theft: money/labor/property] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John D. Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2006, No. BA296454). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 25 days in jail, ordered him to pay victim restitution in the amount of \$131,725.00 (jointly and severally with codefendant), and placed him on 5 years formal probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 3, 2004, Respondent stole, took, and carried away the real or personal property of another.
- e. On or about May 25, 2000, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked, with knowledge] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John D. Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2000, No. 9WH02294). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 30 days on house arrest and placed him on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 10, 1999, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked and with knowledge.

- f. On or about August 17, 1999, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving while under the influence of alcohol or drugs] and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight of alcohol, in his blood] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1999, No. 9EL01864). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 30 days in jail and placed him on 5 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 31, 1999, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or drugs and while having 0.08% or more, by weight of alcohol, in his blood.
- g. On or about August 17, 1999, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1999, No. 6EL00288). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 11 days in jail and placed him on 12 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 15, 1995, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked.
- h. On or about August 17, 1999, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 12500 [driving without a valid driver's license] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1999, No. 95M11687). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 3 days in jail and placed him on 12 month probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that in or about early 1999, Respondent drove a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license.
- i. On or about April 29, 1994, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 148.9, subdivision (a) [false identification to specific peace officer] and one count misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of*

the State of California v. John David Rodriguez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1994, No. 94M01304). The court placed Respondent on 12 months probations, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 13, 1994, Respondent falsely represented or identified himself as another person or as a fictitious person to a peace officer upon a lawful detention or arrest and drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked.

- j. On or about June 29, 1992, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1992, No. 89M06959). The court placed Respondent on 18 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 9, 1989, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked.
- k. On or about March 3, 1992, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Rodriguez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1992, No. 91M04799). The court placed Respondent on 1 year probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 4, 1991, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended or revoked.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 9841, subdivision (a)(3) and 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above, in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a), (d), and (i), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

28 | ///

///

///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 9841, subdivision (a) and 480, subdivision (d), in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose his criminal convictions, on his application for licensure. In addition, Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the law of the State of California, that the foregoing was true and correct, on his application for licensure. Complaint refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) through (k), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 9841, subdivision (b) and 480, subdivisions (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed furniture retailer which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 9841, subdivision (a)(7) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2767. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) through (k), as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Bureau issue a decision:

Denying the application of John Rodriguez, doing business as California Home for a
 Furniture Retailer License; and

1	2. Ta	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		CED 4	
3	DATED:	SEP 1 4 2016	JUSTIN PADDOCK
4			Bureau Chief
5			Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6			State of California Complainant
7			
8			
9	1 22		
10			
11			
12 13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	il s		
24			
25			
26	LA2016601941		
27	52216798.doc jz(9/13/16)		
28			
			10

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against John Rodriguez, dba California Home